arXiv:1505.07420v2 [math.RT] 23 Jun 2015

ON THE STRUCTURE OF A QUOTIENT OF THE GLOBAL WEYL MODULE FOR THE MAP SUPERALGEBRA $\mathfrak{sl}(2,1)$

IRFAN BAGCI AND SAMUEL CHAMBERLIN

Abstract. Let A be a commutative, associative algebra with unity over $\mathbb{C}$. Using the definition of global Weyl modules for the map superalgebras given by Calixto, Lemay, and Savage, [3], we explicitly describe the structure of certain quotients of the global Weyl modules for the map superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,1)\otimes A$. We also give a nice basis for these modules. This work is an extension of Theorem 3 in [9] and can naturally be extended to similar quotients of the global Weyl modules for $\mathfrak{sl}(n,m)\otimes A$.

1. Introduction

Global Weyl modules for the loop algebras, $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$, of a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}$, were introduced by Chari and Pressley in [7]. These modules are indexed by the dominant integral weights of $\mathfrak{g}$, and can also be defined as projective objects in the category of those $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$-modules whose weights are bounded by some fixed dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}$. There are several approaches to generalizing the above objects. In [9] global Weyl modules were defined in the setting where $\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$ is replaced by the coordinate ring of a complex affine variety. A more general approach was taken in [6]. There the modules for the map algebras, $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$, where A is a commutative, associative complex unital algebra, were studied.

Simple finite dimensional modules for $\mathfrak{sl}(m,n)\otimes A$ were classified by Eswara Rao in the multiloop case in [8]. These modules were classified for $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$ by Savage in [11]. The Weyl modules for for $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$ were defined by Calixto, Lemay, and Savage in [3]. In [1, 2] the authors defined integral forms and gave integral bases for the universal enveloping algebras of $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$. The aim of this paper is to use tools developed in these papers to illuminate the structure of a quotient of the global Weyl module for the map superalgebra $\mathfrak{s}l(2,1)\otimes A$.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we fix some notation and record the properties we are going to need in the rest of the paper. Then in Section 3 we state the main result of the paper. In Section 4 we prove the main result of the paper.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. For the remainder of this work fix $\mathfrak{g}:=A(1,0)=\mathfrak{sl}(2,1)$. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}(2,1)$ consists of 3×3 block matrices of the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ \hline g & h & a+e \end{array}\right]$$

where $a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,\in\mathbb{C}$.

Given a matrix $[a_{i,j}]$ define $\varepsilon_k\left([a_{i,j}]\right) := a_{k,k} - a_{k+1,k+1}$. Define $\alpha_1 := \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ and $\alpha_2 := \varepsilon_2 - \delta_1$, where $\delta_1 := \varepsilon_3$. Fix the distinguished simple root system $\Delta := \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Then $R_0^+ := \{\alpha_1\}$ and $R_1^+ := \{\alpha_2, (\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\}$. Fix the following Chevalley basis for $\mathfrak{sl}(2,1)$: $h_1 := h_{\alpha_1} = e_{1,1} - e_{2,2}$ and, $h_2 := h_{\alpha_2} = e_{2,2} + e_{3,3}$. For the root vectors take $x_1 := x_{\alpha_1} = e_{1,2}$, $x_{-1} := x_{-\alpha_1} = e_{2,1}$, $x_2 := x_{\alpha_2} = e_{2,3}$, $x_{-2} := x_{-\alpha_2} = e_{3,2}$, and $x_3 := x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = e_{1,3}$, $x_{-3} := x_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} = e_{3,1}$. Define $h_3 := h_1 + h_2$ and note that $[x_3, x_{-3}] = h_3$.

We have the following table for the bracket in $\mathfrak{g}$.

	x_1	x_2	x_3	h_1	h_2	x_{-1}	x_{-2}	x_{-3}
x_1	0	x_3	0	$-2x_1$	x_1	h_1	0	$-x_{-2}$
x_2	$-x_3$	0	0	x_2	0	0	h_2	x_{-1}
x_3	0	0	0	$-x_3$	x_3	$-x_2$	x_1	h_3
h_1	$2x_1$	$-x_2$	x_3	0	0	$-2x_{-1}$	x_{-2}	$-x_{-3}$
h_2	$-x_1$	0	$-x_3$	0	0	x_{-1}	0	x_{-3}
x_{-1}	$-h_1$	0	x_2	$2x_{-1}$	$-x_{-1}$	0	$-x_{-3}$	0
x_{-2}	0	h_2	x_1	$-x_{-2}$	0	x_{-3}	0	0
x_{-3}	x_{-2}	x_{-1}	h_3	x_{-3}	$-x_{-3}$	0	0	0

Define nilpotent sub-superalgebras $\mathfrak{n}^\pm := \mathbb{C}x_{\pm1} \oplus \mathbb{C}x_{\pm2} \oplus \mathbb{C}x_{\pm3}$ and note that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$. Note $\mathfrak{n}^\pm = (\mathfrak{n}^\pm)_0 \oplus (\mathfrak{n}^\pm)_1$ where $(\mathfrak{n}^\pm)_0 = \operatorname{span}\{x_{\pm1}\}$ and $(\mathfrak{n}^\pm)_1 = \operatorname{span}\{x_{\pm2}, x_{\pm3}\}$.

Note that $\{x_{-1}, h_1, x_1\}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple.

2.2. Fix a commutative associative unitary algebra A over $\mathbb{C}$. $\mathbf{B}$ will denote a fixed $\mathbb{C}$–basis of A. The *map superalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$, where $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)_0 := \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes A$ and $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)_1 := \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes A$, with bracket given by linearly extending the bracket

$$[z\otimes a, z'\otimes b] := [z, z']_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes ab,\ \ z, z' \in \mathfrak{g},\ \ a, b \in A,$$

where $[,]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the super commutator bracket in $\mathfrak{g}$, see also [1, 11]. $\mathfrak{g}$ is embedded in $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$ as $\mathfrak{g}\otimes 1$. Let $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$. Given $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define

$$u^{(r)} := \frac{u^r}{r!} \text{ and } \binom{u}{r} := \frac{u(u-1)\cdots(u-r+1)}{r!}.$$

Given any Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ define $T^0(\mathfrak{a}) := \mathbb{C}$, and for all $j \geq 1$, define $T^j(\mathfrak{a}) := \mathfrak{a}^{\otimes j}$, $T(\mathfrak{a}) := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} T^j(\mathfrak{a})$, and $T_j(\mathfrak{a}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{j} T^k(\mathfrak{a})$. Then set $\mathbf{U}_j(\mathfrak{a})$ to be the image of $T_j(\mathfrak{a})$ under the canonical surjection $T(\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{a})$. For any $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{a})$ *define the degree of* u by

$$\deg u := \min_j\{u \in \mathbf{U}_j(\mathfrak{a})\}$$

2.3. **Multisets and** $p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \xi)$**.** Given any set S define a *multiset of elements of* S to be a multiplicity function $\chi : S \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Define $\mathcal{F}(S) := \{\chi : S \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : |\operatorname{supp}\chi| < \infty\}$. For $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(S)$ define $|\chi| := \sum_{s\in S}\chi(s)$. Notice that $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is an abelian monoid under function addition. Define a partial order on $\mathcal{F}(S)$ so that for $\psi, \chi \in \mathcal{F}(S)$, $\psi \leq \chi$ if $\psi(s) \leq \chi(s)$ for all $s \in S$. Define $\mathcal{F}_k(S) := \{\chi \in \mathcal{F}(S) : |\chi| = k\}$ and given $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(S)$ define $\mathcal{F}(S)(\chi) := \{\psi \in \mathcal{F}(S) : \psi \leq \chi\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_k(S)(\chi) := \{\psi \in \mathcal{F}(S)(\chi) : |\psi| = k\}$. In the case $S = A$ the S will be omitted from the notation. So that $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(A)$, $\mathcal{F}_k := \mathcal{F}_k(A)$, $\mathcal{F}(\chi) := \mathcal{F}(A)(\chi)$ and $\mathcal{F}_k(\chi) := \mathcal{F}_k(A)(\chi)$.

If $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(\chi)$ we define $\chi - \psi$ by standard function subtraction. Also define functions $\pi : \mathcal{F} - \{0\} \to A$ by

$$\pi(\psi) := \prod_{a \in A} a^{\psi(a)}$$

and extend π to $\mathcal{F}$ be setting $\pi(0) = 1$. Define $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}(\psi) := \frac{|\psi|!}{\prod_{a\in A} \psi(a)!}$$

For all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{M}(\psi) \in \mathbb{Z}$ because if $\operatorname{supp}\psi = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ then $\mathcal{M}(\psi)$ is the multinomial coefficient

$$\binom{|\psi|}{\psi(a_1), \dots, \psi(a_k)}$$

For $s \in S$ define χ_s to be the characteristic function of the set $\{s\}$. Then for all $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(S)$

$$\chi = \sum_{s \in S} \chi(s)\chi_s$$

Define $A^0 = \{\varnothing\}$ and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ view A^n as the set of functions $\{1, \dots, n\} \to A$. Also given $\xi \in A^n$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ define $\xi - \xi(j) = (\xi(1), \dots, \xi(j-1), \xi(j+1), \dots, n) \in A^{n-1}$ (if $n = 1$ then $\xi - \xi(j) = \varnothing$) and $|\xi|$=n.

Given $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $j \in \{2, 3\}$ and $S \subset A$ define $X_{\pm 1} : \mathcal{F}(S) \to \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)$ and $H_i : \mathcal{F}(S) \to \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)$, and $X_{\pm j} : A^n \to \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)$ by $X_{\pm 1}(0) := 1$, $H_i(0) := 1$, $X_{\pm j}(\varnothing) := 1$, and for $\chi, \varphi \in \mathcal{F}(S) - \{0\}$ and $n > 0$,

$$X_{\pm 1}(\chi) := \prod_{a \in \operatorname{supp}\chi} (x_{\pm 1} \otimes a)^{(\chi(a))}$$

$$H_i(\varphi) := \prod_{b \in \operatorname{supp}\varphi} (h_i \otimes b)^{(\varphi(b))}$$

$$X_{\pm j}(\xi) := \prod_{k=1}^{n} (x_{\pm j} \otimes \xi(k))$$

Recursively define functions $p_1, q_1 : \mathcal{F}^2 \to \mathbf{U}(x_{-1} \otimes A)\mathbf{U}(h_1 \otimes A)$ and $p : \mathcal{F}^2 \times A^n \to \mathbf{U}(x_{-1} \otimes A)\mathbf{U}(h_1 \otimes A)\Lambda(x_{-3} \otimes A)$ by

$$p_1(\varphi, \chi) := \begin{cases} 1, & \varphi = \chi = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{|\chi|} \sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{F}(\chi) - \{0\}} \mathcal{M}(\psi)\, (h_1 \otimes \pi(\psi))\, p_1(0, \chi - \psi), & \chi \neq 0,\ \varphi = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{|\varphi|} \sum_{\substack{d \in \operatorname{supp}\varphi \\ \psi \in \mathcal{F}(\chi)}} \mathcal{M}(\psi)\, (x_{-1} \otimes d\pi(\psi))\, p_1(\varphi - \chi_d, \chi - \psi) & \varphi \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$q_1(\varphi, \chi) := \begin{cases} 0, & |\varphi| < |\chi| \\ 1, & \varphi = \chi = 0 \\ \frac{1}{|\chi|} \sum_{\substack{c \in \operatorname{supp}\chi \\ d \in \operatorname{supp}\varphi}} (h_1 \otimes cd) q_1(\varphi - \chi_d, \chi - \chi_c), & |\chi| = |\varphi| > 0 \\ \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{|\chi|}(\varphi)} X_{-1}(\varphi - \phi) q_1(\phi, \chi), & |\varphi| > |\chi| \end{cases}$$

and $p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \xi) := p_1(\varphi_2, \varphi_1) X_{-3}(\xi)$.

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let $S \subset A$, $\chi, \varphi, \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{F}(S)$, with $|\phi| \geq |\psi| > 0$, $i, j, k, n, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \geq |\chi| > 0$, $k \leq \chi(1)$, and $\xi \in A^n$. Then*

(1) $X_1\,(\chi)\,X_{-1}\,(r\chi_1) - (-1)^r p_1\,((r-|\chi|)\chi_1, \chi) \in \boldsymbol{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)(x_1\otimes A)$,

(2) $X_1(\psi)X_{-1}(\phi) - (-1)^{|\psi|}q_1(\phi,\psi) \in \big(\boldsymbol{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)(x_1\otimes A) + \boldsymbol{U}_{|\phi|-|\psi|}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\,\boldsymbol{U}_{|\psi|-1}(h_1\otimes A)\big)$,

(3) $p_1(\phi,\chi) - (-1)^{|\chi|+|\phi|}X_{-1}(\phi)H_1(\chi) \in \boldsymbol{U}_{|\phi|}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\,\boldsymbol{U}_{|\chi|-1}(h_1\otimes A)$,

(4) $q_1(r\chi_1,\chi) = (x_{-1})^{(r-|\chi|)}H_1(\chi)$,

(5) $q_1(\varphi + r\chi_1, \chi) - \binom{\varphi(1)+r-|\chi|}{\varphi(1)}(x_{-1})^{(r-|\chi|)}X_{-1}(\varphi)H_1(\chi)$

$$\in \sum_{s=r-|\chi|+1}^{\min\{r,r-|\chi|+|\varphi|-\varphi(1)\}} (x_{-1})^{(s)}\,\boldsymbol{U}_{|\varphi|+r-s-|\chi|}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\,\boldsymbol{U}_{|\chi|}(h_1\otimes A)$$

(6)

$$p_1(\phi,\chi) \quad = \quad \frac{(-1)^k}{\binom{\chi^{(1)}}{k}}p_1(\phi,\chi-k\chi_1)\binom{h_1-|\phi|-|\chi|+k}{k}$$

(7)

$$\binom{h_1-i}{j}X_{-3}(\xi) = X_{-3}(\xi)\binom{h_1-i-n}{j}$$

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from [5, Lemma 5.4]. (3) can be proved by induction first on $|\chi|$ with $\phi = 0$ and then on $|\phi|$. (4) can be proved in the case $r = |\chi|$ by induction on r. Then the case $r > |\chi|$ follows. (5) can be proved using (4). (6) can be proved by induction on k. (7) can be shown first by induction on n with $j = 1$ and then by induction on j. □

Remark 2.3.2. Note that Proposition 2.3.1 (3) implies that $p_1(\varphi,\chi) \in \mathbf{U}_{|\varphi|}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}_{|\chi|}(h_1\otimes A)$ and $p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi) \in \mathbf{U}_{|\varphi_2|}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}_{|\varphi_1|}(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda_{|\xi|}(x_{-3}\otimes A)$.

3. Main Theorem

In this section, we define the global Weyl module for map superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,1)\otimes A$ as in [3].

3.1. Recall that we have fixed a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$,
where $\mathfrak{n}^\pm := \mathbb{C}x_{\pm1} \oplus \mathbb{C}x_{\pm2} \oplus \mathbb{C}x_{\pm3}$, $\mathfrak{n}^\pm = (\mathfrak{n}^\pm)_0 \oplus (\mathfrak{n}^\pm)_1$, $(\mathfrak{n}^\pm)_0 = \operatorname{span}\{x_{\pm1}\}$ and $(\mathfrak{n}^\pm)_1 = \operatorname{span}\{x_{\pm2}, x_{\pm3}\}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{span}\{h_1, h_2\}, h_3 = h_1 + h_2$.

Definition 3.1.1. Define the *global Weyl–module with highest weight* λ, $W_A(\lambda)$, to be the $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$–module generated by a vector w_λ with defining relations

$$(\mathfrak{n}^+\otimes A)w_\lambda = 0, \qquad hw_\lambda = \lambda(h)w_\lambda, \qquad (x_{-1})^{\lambda(h_1)+1}w_\lambda = 0$$

for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.

In other words $W_A(\lambda)$ is the quotient of $U(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$ by the ideal J, where J is generated by

$$\left\{ x\otimes a,\ h-\lambda(h),\ (x_{-1})^{\lambda(h_1)+1} \,\middle|\, x\in\mathfrak{n}^+,\ h\in\mathfrak{h},\ a\in A \right\}.$$

The quotient of the Weyl module $W_A(\lambda)$ we will study in this work, $\widetilde{W}_A(\lambda)$ has the additional relation $X_{-2}(\xi)w_\lambda = 0$ where $\xi \in A^{\lambda h_2+1}$.

So that $\widetilde{W}_A(\lambda)$ is the $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$–module generated by a vector $\widetilde{w}_\lambda$ with defining relations

$$(\mathfrak{n}^+\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_\lambda = 0, \qquad h\widetilde{w}_\lambda = \lambda(h)\widetilde{w}_\lambda, \qquad (x_{-1})^{\lambda(h_1)+1}\widetilde{w}_\lambda = 0, \qquad X_{-2}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_\lambda = 0$$

for all $h\in\mathfrak{h}$ and $\xi\in A^{\lambda h_2+1}$.

Define $\omega_k\in\mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\omega_k(h_i) := \delta_{k,i}$ for all $i,k\in\{1,2\}$. Note that $\{\omega_1,\omega_2\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{h}^*$.

The main result of this work is Theorem 3.2.1 in this section.

3.2. Define $V\cong\mathbb{C}^3$ to be the natural module for $\mathfrak{g}$, and write the basis as v_1, $v_2 := x_{-1}v_1$, and $v_3 := x_{-3}v_1$. Then $V\otimes A$ is a $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A$ module under the action $(z\otimes a)(w\otimes b) = zw\otimes ab$.

Note that $V = V_0\oplus V_1$ where $V_0 = \mathbb{C}v_1\oplus\mathbb{C}v_2$ and $V_1 = \mathbb{C}v_3$. So $V\otimes A = (V_0\otimes A)\oplus(V_1\otimes A)$.

Following [10], we define an action of the symmetric group, S_m, on $T^m(V\otimes A)$ as follows. Given $\sigma\in S_m$ define $\operatorname{Inv}(\sigma) := \{(j,k)\mid j<k,\ \sigma(j)>\sigma(k)\}$. Then given $w=(w_1,\dots,w_m)\in T^m(V\otimes A)$ such that $w_1,\dots,w_m$ are homogeneous in $V\otimes A$, define $\gamma(w,\sigma)\in\{\pm1\}$ by

$$\gamma(w,\sigma) = \prod_{(j,k)\in\operatorname{Inv}(\sigma)} (-1)^{\bar{w}_{\sigma(j)}\bar{w}_{\sigma(k)}}$$

Then S_m acts on $T^m(V\otimes A)$ by linearly extending the map

$$\sigma^{-1}(w_1\otimes\cdots\otimes w_m) = \gamma(w,\sigma)w_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes w_{\sigma(m)}$$

Define a subspace $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)\subset T^m(V\otimes A)$ to be the $\mathbb{C}$–span of the set

$$\left\{\sum_{\sigma\in S_m}\sigma^{-1}(w_1\otimes\cdots\otimes w_m)\ \middle|\ w_1,\dots,w_m\in V\otimes A\right\}$$

Given $u\in V\otimes A$ define $u^{\otimes m} := \underbrace{u\otimes u\otimes\cdots\otimes u}_{m}$ and $u^{\otimes(m)} := \frac{1}{m!}\underbrace{u\otimes u\otimes\cdots\otimes u}_{m}$.

Note that, for all $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}$, $T^m(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A))$ has a braided algebra structure with factors of odd degree skew-commuting. Define the coproduct

$$\bar{\Delta}^m : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)\to T^{m+1}\left(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)\right)$$

by extending the map $\mathfrak{g}\otimes A\to T^{m+1}\left(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)\right)$ given by

$$z\mapsto\sum_{j=0}^{m}1^{\otimes j}\otimes z^{\otimes(m-j)}$$

to a superalgebra homomorphism. In particular for $u,w\in\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$ with

$$\Delta^1(u) = \sum u_1\otimes u_2, \text{ and } \Delta^1(w) = \sum w_1\otimes w_2$$

$$\Delta^1(uw) = \sum(-1)^{|u_2||w_1|}u_1w_1\otimes u_2w_2$$

Define

$$\rho : T^m(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A))\to \operatorname{End} T^m(V\otimes A)$$

to be the module action given by

$$\rho(u_1,\dots,u_m)(z_1,\dots,z_m) = (-1)^{\sum_{j=2}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}|z_j||u_k|}(u_1z_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes(u_mz_m)$$

Then $T^m(V\otimes A)$ is a left $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$-module via the map $\rho\circ\Delta^{m-1}$. Moreover $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$ is a submodule under this action. Thus $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$ is a left $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$-module under this action.

Define $v := (v_1)^{\otimes m}\in \tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$. The goal of this work is to prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.2.1. *The assignment* $\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}\mapsto v$ *extends to an isomorphism* $\Phi : \widetilde{W}_A(m\omega_1)\to \tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$ *of* $\boldsymbol{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$*–modules. Moreover the set*

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}\;\middle|\;\varphi_1,\varphi_2\in\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{B}),\ \xi\in\boldsymbol{B}^n,\ |\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n=m\right\}$$

is a $\mathbb{C}$*-basis for* $\widetilde{W}_A(m\omega_1)$*.*

4. Proof of Main Theorem

4.1. **A Useful Basis of $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$.** In order to show that the map Φ in Theorem 3.2.1 is onto $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$ we need the following technical lemma, which gives a nice basis for $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$.

Let $(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)\in\mathcal{F}^2\times A^n$ be given. Then given $\sigma\in S_{|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n}$ define $v^\sigma(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)\in T^{|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n}(V\otimes A)$ by

$$v^\sigma(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi) := \sigma^{-1}\left(\bigotimes_{a\in\operatorname{supp}\varphi_1}(v_1\otimes a)^{\otimes(\varphi_1(a))}\otimes\bigotimes_{b\in\operatorname{supp}\varphi_2}(v_2\otimes b)^{\otimes(\varphi_2(b))}\otimes\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n}(v_3\otimes\xi(j))\right)$$

Note that the definition of $v^\sigma(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)$ depends on orderings of $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_2$. Define

$$v(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi) := \sum_{\sigma\in S_{|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n}} v^\sigma(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)\in\tilde{S}^{|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n}(V\otimes A)$$

Note that the definition of $v(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)$ does *not* depend on any orderings of $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_2$.

Lemma 4.1.1.

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{v(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)\;\middle|\;\varphi_1,\varphi_2\in\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{B}),\ \xi\in\boldsymbol{B}^n,\ |\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n=m\right\}$$

is a basis for $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$*.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{B}$ spans $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$. $\mathcal{B}$ is linearly independent because the set

$$\{(v_{j_1}\otimes b_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes(v_{j_m}\otimes b_m)\mid j_1,\dots,j_m\in\{1,2,3\},\ b_1,\dots,b_m\in\mathbf{B}\}$$

is a basis for $T^m(V\otimes A)$ and hence is linearly independent. □

4.2. In this subsection we will study the action of $p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)$ on $v$and in the process we will demonstrate that Φ is onto $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$. In order to understand this module action we need to study the action of Δ^{k-1} on $p_1(\varphi,\psi)$.

Given $\chi\in\mathcal{F}$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}$ define

$$\operatorname{comp}_k(\chi) = \left\{\psi : \{1,\dots,k\}\to\mathcal{F}(\chi)\;\middle|\;\sum_{j=1}^{k}\psi(j)=\chi\right\}$$

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let $\varphi, \chi \in \mathcal{F}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Then*

$$\Delta^{k-1}(p_1(\varphi,\chi)) \quad = \sum_{\substack{\theta \in \mathrm{comp}_k(\varphi) \\ \psi \in \mathrm{comp}_k(\chi)}} p_1(\theta(1),\psi(1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes p_1(\theta(k),\psi(k))$$

Proof. A complete proof of the formula for $p_1(\varphi,\chi)$ is given in Proposition 36 in [4]. The case $k=1$ is trivial. The idea is to prove the case $k=2$ first by setting $\varphi = 0$ and inducting on $|\chi|$ and then to induct on $|\varphi|+|\chi|$. The case $k>2$ is then proved by induction on k using the identity $\Delta^l = (1^{\otimes(l-1)} \otimes \Delta^1) \circ \Delta^{l-1}$ and the $k=2$ case. □

Lemma 4.2.2. *For all $a \in A$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|\varphi|+|\psi| > 1$*

$$p_1(\varphi,\psi)(v_1 \otimes a) \quad = \quad 0$$

Proof. Since each term of $p_1(\varphi,\psi)$ has x_{-1} appearing $|\varphi|$ times, $p_1(\varphi,\psi)(v_1 \otimes a) = 0$ if $|\varphi| \geq 2$. If $|\psi| \geq 2$ it can be shown by induction on $|\psi|$ that $p_1(0,\psi)(v_1 \otimes a) = 0$. We can verify by direct calculation that $p_1(\varphi,\psi)(v_1 \otimes a) = 0$ if $|\xi| = |\psi| = 1$. □

Lemma 4.2.3. *Let $\varphi, \chi \in \mathcal{F}$ be given and $k := |\varphi|+|\chi|$ then*

$$p_1(\varphi,\chi)(v_1)^k = (-1)^k v(\chi,\varphi,\varnothing)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(\varphi,\chi)(v_1)^k \quad &= \quad \rho\left(\sum_{\substack{\theta \in \mathrm{comp}_k(\varphi) \\ \psi \in \mathrm{comp}_k(\chi)}} p_1(\theta(1),\psi(1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes p_1(\theta(k),\psi(k))\right)(v_1)^k \quad \text{by Lemma 4.2.1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\theta \in \mathrm{comp}_k(\varphi) \\ \psi \in \mathrm{comp}_k(\chi)}} p_1(\theta(1),\psi(1))(v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes p_1(\theta(k),\psi(k))(v_1) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2.2 implies that the only non-zero terms in the previous sum will have $|\theta(j)| + |\psi(j)| \leq 1$ for all j. $p_1(\chi_a, 0)(v_1) = -(v_2 \otimes a)$ and $p_1(0,\chi_b)(v_1) = -(v_1 \otimes b)$. $-(v_2 \otimes a)$ and $-(v_1 \otimes b)$ where $a \in \operatorname{supp}\varphi$ and $b \in \operatorname{supp}\chi$ are the exact factors in definition of $v(\varphi,\chi,\varnothing)$ and they occur every possible position. □

Proposition 4.2.4. *For all $(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi) \in \mathcal{F}^2 \times A^n$ with $|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n = m$*

$$p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)v = (-1)^{|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|} v(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)$$

Proof. Let $k = |\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|$. Then

$$p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi) = p_1(\varphi_2,\varphi_1)X_{-3}(\xi)v = p_1(\varphi_2,\varphi_1)v((m-n)\chi_1, 0, \xi)$$

Because $x_{-1}v_3 = 0$ and $h_1 v_3 = 0$, Lemma 4.2.3 implies that $p_1(\varphi_2,\varphi_1)v((m-n)\chi_1,0,\xi) = (-1)^k v(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)$. □

Define

$$C := \operatorname{span}\left\{ p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \;\middle|\; \varphi_1,\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{B}),\ \xi \in \mathbf{B}^n,\ |\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n = m \right\}$$

and note that $\Phi(C) = \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 4.2.5. *For all* $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{B})$*, and* $\xi \in \boldsymbol{B}^n$*, with* $|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n < m$ $p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$*.*

Proof. Define $k := |\varphi_1| + |\varphi_2| + n < m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\varphi_1 + (m-k)\chi_1, \varphi_2, \xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} &= p_1(\varphi_2, \varphi_1 + (m-k)\chi_1)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{m-k}}{\binom{\varphi_1(1)+m-k}{m-k}} p_1(\varphi_2, \varphi_1)\binom{h_1 - |\varphi_2| - |\varphi_1|}{m-k} X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \\ &\quad \text{by Proposition 2.3.1(6)} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{m-k}}{\binom{\varphi_1(1)+m-k}{m-k}} p_1(\varphi_2, \varphi_1)X_{-3}(\xi)\binom{h_1 - k}{m-k}\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \\ &\quad \text{by Proposition 2.3.1(7)} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{m-k}}{\binom{\varphi_1(1)+m-k}{m-k}} p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} = (-1)^{m-k}\binom{\varphi_1(1)+m-k}{m-k}p(\varphi_1 + (m-k)\chi_1, \varphi_2, \xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$. □

Lemma 4.2.6.

$$C = \sum_{r+s=0}^{m} \boldsymbol{U}_r(x_{-1} \otimes A)\, \boldsymbol{U}_{m-r-s}(h_1 \otimes A)\Lambda_s(x_{-3} \otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}$$

Proof. Proposition 2.3.1(3) implies that for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\xi \in A^n$ with $|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n = m$

$$p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in \sum_{r+s=0}^{m} \mathbf{U}_r(x_{-1} \otimes A)\mathbf{U}_{m-r-s}(h_1 \otimes A)\Lambda_s(x_{-3} \otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}$$

This implies that C is a subset of the sum.

To show that the sum is a subset of C, we will use induction on $k := n+|\psi_1|+|\psi_2|$ to prove the claim that any element of the form $X_{-1}(\psi_1)H_1(\psi_2)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}$, where $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{B})$, $\xi \in \mathbf{B}^n$, and $k \le m$ is in C. Since for all $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\chi_a, 0, \varnothing)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} &= -(h_1 \otimes a)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \\ p(0, \chi_a, \varnothing)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} &= -(x_{-1} \otimes a)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \\ p(0, 0, (a))\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} &= -(x_{-3} \otimes a)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \end{aligned}$$

we see that this claim is true for $k = 1$ by Lemma 4.2.5.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\psi_1, \psi_2, \xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} &= p_1(\psi_2, \psi_1)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \\ &= (-1)^{|\psi_1|+|\psi_2|}X_{-1}(\psi_2)H_1(\psi_1)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} + u\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \end{aligned}$$

where $u \in \mathbf{U}_r(x_{-1} \otimes A)\mathbf{U}_s(h_1 \otimes A)\Lambda_n(x_{-3} \otimes A)$ for $r + s + n < k$, by Proposition 2.3.1(3). By the induction hypothesis $u\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$. $p(\psi_1, \psi_2, \xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$ by Lemma 4.2.5. Therefore $X_{-1}(\psi_2)H_1(\psi_1)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$. □

Lemma 4.2.7. *Let* $\varphi, \chi \in \mathcal{F}$ *and* $\xi \in A^n$ *with* $|\varphi| + n = m + 1$*. Then*

$$q_1(\varphi, \chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$$

Proof. If $|\chi| > |\varphi|$ the claim is trivially true. If $|\chi| \le |\varphi|$ by Proposition 2.3.1(2)

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(X_1(\chi)X_{-1}(\varphi) - (-1)^{|\chi|}q_1(\varphi,\chi)\Big)\, X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \\ &\quad\in\ \big(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}(x_1\otimes A) + \mathbf{U}_{|\varphi|-|\chi|}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}_{|\chi|-1}(h_1\otimes A)\big)\,\Lambda_n(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}\end{aligned}$$

By weight considerations $X_1(\chi)X_{-1}(\varphi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} = 0$. $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}(x_1\otimes A)\Lambda_n(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} = 0$ because $[x_1, x_{-3}]\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} = -x_{-2}\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} = 0$ and x_{-2} and x_{-3} commute. So

$$q_1(\varphi,\chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in \mathbf{U}_{|\varphi|-|\chi|}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}_{|\chi|-1}(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda_n(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}$$

Hence $q_1(\varphi,\chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$ by Lemma 4.2.6. □

Lemma 4.2.8. *Let $j, l, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ with $n + j + l \le m + 1$. Then*

$$(x_{-1})^{(m+1-j-l-n)}\, \boldsymbol{U}_j(x_{-1}\otimes A)\, \boldsymbol{U}_l(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda_n(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \subset C$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2.6 it suffices to show that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_j$, $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_l$ and $\xi \in A^n$

$$(x_{-1})^{(m+1-j-l-n)}X_{-1}(\varphi)H_1(\chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$$

This will be proved by induction on j. In the case $j = 0$ we have by Proposition 2.3.1(4) and Lemma 4.2.7

$$(x_{-1})^{(m+1-l-n)}H_1(\chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} = q_1((m+1-n)\chi_1, \chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$$

For the induction step we have by Proposition 2.3.1(5) and Lemma 4.2.7

$$\begin{aligned}&\binom{\varphi(1) + m + 1 - j - n - l}{\varphi(1)}(x_{-1})^{(m+1-j-l-n)}X_{-1}(\varphi)H_1(\chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \\ &\qquad = q_1(\varphi + (m+1-j-n)\chi_1, \chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} + u\end{aligned}$$

where

$$u \in \sum_{s=m+1-n-(j-1)-l}^{\min\{m+1-n-j,\, m+1-n-l-\varphi(1)\}} (x_{-1})^{(s)}\mathbf{U}_{m+1-n-s-l}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}_l(h_1\otimes A)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}$$

$q_1(\varphi + (m+1-j-n)\chi_1, \chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$ by Lemma 4.2.7 and $u \in C$ by the induction hypothesis. Therefore $(x_{-1})^{(m+1-j-l-n)}X_{-1}(\varphi)H_1(\chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \in C$. □

Lemma 4.2.9. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $\xi \in A^n$ with $k + n > m$. Then $\boldsymbol{U}_k(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda_n(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \subset C$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on k using Proposition 2.3.1(1) and (3). If $k = 0$ then $X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} = 0 \in C$. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X_1(\chi)X_{-1}(k\chi_1)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} && \text{by weight considerations}\\ &= (-1)^k p_1(0,\chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} && \text{by Proposition 2.3.1(1)}\\ &= H_1(\chi)X_{-3}(\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} + u && \text{by Proposition 2.3.1(3)}\end{aligned}$$

where $u \in \mathbf{U}_{k-1}(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda_n(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \subset C$ by the induction hypothesis. □

4.3. *Proof of Theorem 3.2.1.* The relations $(\mathfrak{n}^+ \otimes A)v = 0$, and $hv = (m,0)(h)v$ hold for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Also it can be easily shown that $x_{-i}^{(m,0)(i)+1}v = 0$, for all $i \in \{1,2\}$. Thus the map Φ in the statement of Theorem 3.2.1 gives a well-defined surjection $\widetilde{W}_A(m\omega_1) \to \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)v$. Let $v(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi) \in \mathcal{B}$ be given. Then, by Proposition 4.2.4,

$$\Phi\left(p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}\right) = p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)v = (-1)^{|\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|}v(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)$$

Therefore Φ is onto $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$. To show that the map is injective we will show that a spanning set is sent to a basis. Lemma 4.1.1 showed that $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis for $\tilde{S}^m(V\otimes A)$. Thus it will suffice to show that the set

$$\{p(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\xi)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \mid \varphi_1,\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{B}),\ \xi \in \mathbf{B}^n,\ |\varphi_1|+|\varphi_2|+n = m\}$$

spans $\widetilde{W}_A(m\omega_1)$ (i.e. $C = \widetilde{W}_A(m\omega_1)$).

By the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem for Lie superalgebras

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A) \cong \mathbf{U}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda(x_{-3}\otimes A)\Lambda(x_{-2}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}(h_2\otimes A)\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}^+\otimes A)$$

as vector spaces. Therefore

$$\widetilde{W}_A(m\omega_1) \cong \mathbf{U}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1},$$

because $x_{-2}\otimes a$, $h_2\otimes a$ and $\mathfrak{n}^+\otimes A$ act by zero on $\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1}$. For injectivity it suffices to show that

$$\mathbf{U}(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \subset C$$

In fact, by weight considerations, it suffices to show that for all $j,k,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $j+n \leq m$,

$$\mathbf{U}_j(x_{-1}\otimes A)\mathbf{U}_k(h_1\otimes A)\Lambda_n(x_{-3}\otimes A)\widetilde{w}_{m\omega_1} \subset C.$$

The case $j+k+n \leq m$ follows from Lemma 4.2.6. The case $j+k+n > m$ follows by induction on j. The $j=0$ case follows from Lemma 4.2.9. The $j+1$ case follows from Lemma 4.2.8.

Thus C spans $\widetilde{W}_A(m\omega_1)$ and hence the map in the theorem is injective and therefore is an isomorphism of left $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}\otimes A)$-modules.

□

REFERENCES

[1] I. Bagci and S. Chamberlin, *Integral bases for the universal enveloping algebras of map superalgebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **218**, no. 8, (2014), pp. 1563-1576.

[2] I. Bagci and S. Chamberlin, *Integral bases for the universal enveloping algebras of map superalgebras II*, math.RT/arXiv:1308.2849v1.

[3] Lucas Calixto, Joel Lemay and Alistair Savage, *Weyl modules for Lie superalgebras*, math.RT/arXiv:1505.06949v1.

[4] S. Chamberlin, *Integral bases for the universal enveloping algebras of map algebras*, Doctoral dissertation accepted by the University of California, Riverside. *Proquest LLC., Ann Arbor*, 2011, viii+85 pp.

[5] S. Chamberlin, *Integral bases for the universal enveloping algebras of map algebras*, J. Algebra 377 (2013), no. 1, 232–249. MR3008904

[6] V. Chari, G. Fourier, and T. Khandai, *A categorical approach to Weyl modules*, Transform. Groups 15 (2010), no. 3, 517–549.

[7] V. Chari, A. Pressley *Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras*, Represent. Theory 5:191–223 (electronic), 2001. MR1850556

[8] S. Eswara Rao, *Finite dimensional modules for multiloop superalgebra of type A(m, n) and C(m)*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 141 (2013), 3411-3419

[9] B. Feigin and S. Loktev, *Multi-dimensional Weyl modules and symmetric functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 251 (2004), 427–445. MR2102326 (2005m:17005)

[10] I. M. Musson, *Lie superalgebras and enveloping algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 131. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 2012. xx+488 pp. ISBN: 978-08218-6867-6. MR2906817

[11] A. Savage, *Equivariant map superalgebras*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 277 (2014), no. 1, pp. 373399

Department of Mathematics, University of North Georgia, Oakwood, GA 30566
E-mail address: `irfan.bagci@ung.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Park University, Parkville, MO 64152
E-mail address: `samuel.chamberlin@park.edu`